

**CITATION(2001)6KLR**

**ISSN 1117-0530**

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS (ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2001) KLR VOL 6 PART 125 pp. 2125 - 2298**

**JUNE 2001**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **JUNE CONTD.**

9. Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125
10. Babatolav. Aladejanap. 2141
11. Temile v. Awani p. 2159
12. Emeka v. State p. 2189
13. NteogwuiIe v. Otuo p. 2205
14. Julius Berger Nig. PLC v. Omogui p. 2237

### **COURT OF APPEAL**

1. Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

## **2     INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 6 KLR**

ACTIONS - Cause of Action - Date of arising - Relevant-date when the cause of action accrued - Is misconceived by appellant (H 1) Julius Berger Nig. PLC v. Omogui p. 2237

ACTIONS - Statute bar - Plaintiff's action was filed within time - And is not statute barred (H 2) Julius Berger Nig, PLC v. Omogui p. 2237

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - As they are supported by adequately evaluated evidence - They are not perverse - And will not be interfered with by the Supreme Court (H5) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - Will not be interfered with by the court - Except they are shown to be perverse (H 4) Aseimo v. Abraham p.2125

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Title - The court below rightly granted the declaration of title - And the reasons for the findings are unassailable - And should not be disturbed (H 10) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

APPEALS - Issue - Which was not raised at lower court - And for which no leave was sought - Before it was formulated - Is incompetent and is struck out (H7) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

APPEALS – Re-evaluation of evidence and findings- Was validly done by the Court of Appeal - In exercise of its powers - Having observed the relevant criteria (H6) Nteogwuile v. Otuo p. 2205

APPEALS - Reversal- Where the decision of the lower court - Is not based on the credibility of witnesses – Appeal court has a duty to reverse – If it is satisfied that the decision was wrong (H3) Nteogwuile v. Otuo p. 2205

COURTS - Suo motu issues - Court was in error - To raise suo motu issues - And use it against a party - Without hearing the parties (H 4) Nteogwuile v. Otuo p, 2205

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Evidence - Confession - Trial within trial Burden of proof shifts to the accused - Only if he alleges some irregularity within his knowledge (H 2) *Emeka v. State* p. 2189

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Evidence - Murder - Voluntary statement of appellant implicated him in the murder - But cannot incriminate his co-accused - In the absence of corroboration (H1) *Emeka v. State* p. 2189

CUSTOMARY LAW - Grant of land - By the chief and head of the family - With the concurrence of principal members - Is valid (H 2) *Temile v. Awani* p. 2159

CUSTOMARY LAW - Land law - Title - Where family land is involved - Plaintiff must trace his title to the family - To succeed in his action for declaration of title (H8) *Temile v. Awani* p. 2159

CUSTOMARY LAW - Proof of custom - Appeals - The plaintiff / respondents - Discharged the burden on them - To prove the relevant Itsekiri native law on which they relied (H5) *Temile v. Awani* p. 2159

CUSTOMARY LAW - Proof of custom - Burden of proof - Is on the person alleging the existence of the custom - Where the custom is not judicially noticed (H4) *Temile v. Awani* p. 2159

EVIDENCE \_ Exhibits - Rejected evidence - Once a document is tendered \_ And declared inadmissible - It is wrong to refuse to mark it "Tendered and Rejected" (H1) *Babatola v. Aladejana* p. 2141

EVIDENCE \_ Expert opinion - Where the writer of the opinion - Is absent as a witness - The opinion must be put - To another expert in the same field for confirmation - Before it becomes part of the evidence to be relied upon (H2) *Nteogwuile v. Otuo* p. 2205

**4      INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 6 KLR**

JUDGMENTS - Jurisdiction - Parties - Court cannot give judgment against a person - Who was not made a party to the action - Or who had no opportunity to defend the suit (H8) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

B JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Distinguishing - The decision in Olowu v. Olowu \_ Is properly distinguished from the facts of this case (H 5) Nteogwuile v. Otuo p. 2205

C JURISDICTION - Land law - Customary right of occupancy - The High court has jurisdiction - To entertain a claim in respect of customary right of occupancy - As was rightly held by the court below (H1) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

D LAND LA W - Boundaries - Injunction - Where the court below - Finds that the boundaries are certain - Its order of injunction can only be challenged – If it is established that its finding is wrong - As regards identity of the land (H 7) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

E LAND LAW - Identity of land - Where there is failure - Of both oral and documentary evidence to identify the land - Mere mention of names is not enough - And the claim must fail (H 5) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

F LAND LA W - Identity of the disputed land - Must be stated with clarity \_ In order to identify the land trespassed upon (H3) Babatola v. Aladejana p.2141

G LAND LAW - Location of land - As no issue was joined in the pleadings \_ As to certainty of the land in question - The evidence of the surveyor - Implying uncertainty goes to no issue (H3) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

H LAND LAW - Survey plan - Admissibility - Survey plan which is not prepared by a qualified surveyor - Is inadmissible - For failure to comply with s.3 Survey Law of On do State (H 2) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

LAND LA W \_ Survey plan - Title - Court can grant a declaration of title \_ Without production of a survey plan by the plaintiff - As long as the land can be ascertained with certainty (H 9) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

LAND LAW \_ Title - Evidence - Plaintiff is required to satisfy the court by evidence - And not by admission in the defendants' pleadings - That he is entitled to the declaration of title (H 7) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

LAND LAW - Title - Proof by traditional evidence - What the plaintiff must prove - And how to resolve conflicting and rival traditional histories \_ By evidence of recent facts (H2) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

LAND LAW \_ Title - Proof - By traditional evidence and acts of ownership \_ The court is entitled to enquire - Into the sufficiency of the acts of ownership (H3) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

LAND LA W - Title - Proof - Onus of proof is on the party seeking the declaration - And he must succeed on the strength of his own case - Not the weakness of the defence (H 6) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

LAND LA W \_ Trespass - Damages - Having failed to prove the identity of the trespassed land - The claim for damages must fail (H6) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

LAND LAW \_ Trespass - Proof - The onus is on the plaintiff - To prove the identity of the land trespassed upon (H4) Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

PARTIES \_ Inaction of a party - Where a party fails to exercise his right \_ To anticipate the case of a his opponent of which he had notice - He cannot complain on appeal - Of his own inaction (H6) Aseimo v. Abraham p. 2125

PLEADINGS \_ Admissions - Evidence - Facts admitted or not disputed by the defence - Need no further proof by evidence (H1) Temile

v. Awani p.2159

B PLEADINGS - Issues - Evidence - Trial judge was in error - To have based his conclusion - On facts neither pleaded nor testified to in evidence - By the parties (H 1) Nteogwuile v. Otuo p. 2205

### C COURT OF APPEAL

D CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Birth - Circumstances of - Deprivation by reason of circumstance of birth - Children born out of wedlock - Such circumstances cannot deprive them of their inheritance (H 5) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

E CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy doctrine - Ili Ekpe custom of Nnewi - Is repugnant to natural justice - Equity and good conscience (H 3) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

F CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy doctrine - Nrachi custom of Nnewi - Is repugnant and contrary to natural justice equity and good conscience (H2) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

G CUSTOMARY LAW - Succession - Nnewi custom - Nrachi ceremony - A female child does not need the performance of N rachi ceremony on her - To be entitled to inherit her deceased father's estate (H 4) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

H CUSTOMARY LAW - Succession - Unconstitutional custom - Nrachi custom of Nnewi - Impairs the right of free association - And is unconstitutional (H 1) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

I EQUITY - Succession - Persons who have been in possession and occupation - Of the estate of a late ancestor for a long time - It. will be inequitable to dislodge and throw them out (H 7) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

FAMILY LAW - Custody - Of any child born out of wedlock - Follows that of the mother (H 6) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

**B**

## **INDEX OF STATUTES**

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 ss. 39(2), 42(1) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

**C**

Court of Appeal Act, CAP 75, LFN, 1990 s. 16 Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

Evidence Act s.14(1) Temile v. Awani p. 2159

**D**

Evidence Act ss. 135 (1), 139, 141 (1) Emeka v. State p. 2189

High Court Law, 1987 of Anambra State s. 18(1) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

High Court Law, No 27 of 1955 of Eastern Nigeria s. 22(1) Muojekwu v. Ejikeme p. 2255 CA

**E**

Limitation Law Cap 89 Laws of Bendel State 1976; s. 4(1)(a) Julius Berger Nig. PLC v. Omogui p. 2237

**F**

Penal Code s. 221 Emeka v. State p. 2189

Survey Law of On do State s.3 Babatola v. Aladejana p. 2141

**G**

**H**